In Oklahoma, ground water is the major source of water for irrigation, the largest single use of water. The major population centers (fig. 1) rely primarily on surface water for public supply, but many of the smaller towns and rural water systems depend on ground water. Ground water accounts for about 28 percent of the total public water supply in Oklahoma (Solley and others, 1983, p. 10). Except for the Dog Creek-Blaine and the Arbuckle-Timbered Hills aquifers (fig. 2A), the principal aquifers provide water supplies that generally meet all Federal and State standards for drinking-water quality. Large sulfate concentrations, with a median value of 1,750 mg/L (milligrams per liter) in the Dog Creek-Blaine, and large fluoride concentrations, with a median value of 9.1 mg/L in the Arbuckle Timbered Hills, preclude the general use of these two aquifers for public water supply. In all principal aquifers except the Arbuckle-Timbered Hills, the water is hard to very hard, with median hardness values ranging from 135 to 2,000 mg/L as calcium carbonate. All principal aquifers supply water of acceptable quality for irrigation of some types of crops.

Large nitrate (as nitrogen) concentrations are present in many of the State's aquifers, particularly in the shallow alluvium and terrace aquifers, but specific causes have not been identified. Evidence exists that ground water is contaminated in some areas by large sodium chloride concentrations resulting from oil and gas operations. Fifty hazardous-waste sites have been identified under the Federal Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA) of 1976 (fig. 3A). Of these 50 sites, ground-water-quality monitoring is required at 30. Four sites in Oklahoma have been included on the National Priorities List (NPL) of hazardous waste sites (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 1986c) for action or further evaluation under the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act (CERCLA) of 1980. Remedial action is almost completed at the CERCLA (Superfund) site in northeastern Oklahoma (fig. 3A). There are 11 underground injection control (UIC) Class I wells (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 1984) in Oklahoma (fig. 3A). The U.S. Department of Defense (DoD) has identified 29 hazardous-waste sites at 4 facilities in Oklahoma as having potential for contamination.

Although the urban population has continued to increase, Oklahoma has had a net loss in population since 1983 (Oklahoma Employment Security Commission, 1986, p. 4) because of the depressed economy. Contamination of shallow ground water may occur in the urban areas as an indirect result of population and industrial growth. Statewide, the potential for ground-water contamination resulting from agriculture and energy production has been decreased by a reduction in these activities.

**WATER QUALITY IN PRINCIPAL AQUIFERS**

Oklahoma has three principal types of aquifers—alluvial, unconsolidated and semiconsolidated, and bedrock (U.S. Geological
Figure 2. Principal aquifers and related water-quality data in Oklahoma.  
Figure 3. Selected waste sites and ground-water-quality information in Oklahoma.  
A, Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act (CERCLA) sites, as of August 1986; Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA) sites, as of August 1986, and Department of Defense Restoration Program (RIP) sites, as of September 1986; and other selected waste sites, as of August 1986.  
B, Areas of naturally-impaired water quality, cross of human induced contamination, and distribution of wells that yield contaminated water, as of August 1986.  
C, Municipal landfills, as of August 1986.  
Survey, 1985, p. 347). Ground water in Oklahoma is withdrawn predominantly for irrigation and public supply, and is the source for most self-supplied domestic users. Irrigation is the primary water use in the western part of the State and public supply is the primary water use in the central and eastern parts. During 1982, ground-water withdrawals accounted for 46 percent of the total water withdrawals (Stoner, 1985, p. 18). Irrigation withdrawals from the High Plains, Rush Springs, and Dog Creek-Blaine aquifers in the west (fig. 24) accounted for about 60 percent of the total State ground-water withdrawals.

Dissolved-solids concentrations in Oklahoma ground water generally increase with depth. Except for the Dog Creek-Blaine and the Arbuckle-Timbered Hills aquifers, water suitable for public supply can be found in all the State's principal aquifers. However, not all areas or depths within these aquifers produce water suitable for public supply.

**BACKGROUND WATER QUALITY**

A graphic summary of selected water-quality variables compiled from the U.S. Geological Survey's National Water Data Storage and Retrieval System (WATSTORE) is presented in figure 2R. The summary is based on dissolved-solids, hardness, fluoride, chloride, and sulfate analyses of water samples collected from 1946 to 1986 from the principal aquifers in Oklahoma. Percentiles of these variables are compared to national standards that specify the maximum concentration or level of a contaminant in drinking-water supply as established by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (1986 a, b). The primary maximum contaminant level standards are health related and are legally enforceable. The secondary maximum contaminant level standards apply to esthetic qualities and are recommended guidelines. The primary drinking-water standards include a maximum concentration of 4.0 mg/L fluoride, and the secondary drinking-water standards include maximum concentrations of 500 mg/L dissolved solids, 2.0 mg/L fluoride, 250 mg/L chloride, and 250 mg/L sulfate.

The data presented in figure 2B were summarized by principal aquifer and were interpreted for each aquifer without distinction as to areal location or depth. Owing to insufficient data, the Keokuk-Reeds Spring and Antlers aquifers have not been included in this discussion. Nitrate (as nitrogen) data were not sufficient to produce statistical summaries for any of the principal aquifers.

Except for the Dog Creek-Blaine aquifer, the median dissolved-solids concentrations in the State's principal aquifers were smaller than 1,000 mg/L and ranged from 280 to 772 mg/L (fig. 2B). Hardness concentrations for most of Oklahoma's ground water generally were larger than 120 mg/L (hard water) and commonly were larger than 180 mg/L (very hard water). Some chloride and sulfate concentrations exceeded the drinking-water standards of 250 mg/L, rendering the water unsuitable for use as a public supply. Fluoride concentrations in water from some aquifers exceeded the 4.0 mg/L primary standard for the range in average annual temperature in Oklahoma of 58 to 64° F (Oklahoma Water Resources Board, 1984).

**Alluvial and Terrace Aquifers**

Water withdrawn from the alluvial and terrace aquifers is used principally for irrigation and domestic supply. Water from these aquifers ranged from a calcium-magnesium carbonate-bicarbonate type to a calcium-magnesium sulfate type (U.S. Geological Survey, 1985, p. 348). The median dissolved-solids concentration was 485 mg/L (fig. 2B), with about 20 percent of the concentrations exceeding 1,000 mg/L. The water was very hard, with a median hardness concentration of 340 mg/L; more than 80 percent of the concentrations were larger than 180 mg/L. Most of the chloride and sulfate concentrations were small; median values were 18 mg/L and 50 mg/L, respectively. Fewer than 10 percent of the chloride concentrations and 20 percent of the sulfate concentrations exceeded 250 mg/L. The median fluoride concentration was 0.3 mg/L, and the maximum was 0.9 mg/L. The water generally is suitable for use as a public supply. However, large chloride and sulfate concentrations found in some areas and at various depths decrease the suitability of the water for public supply.

**High Plains Aquifer**

The major use of water from this aquifer is for irrigation. Public water supplies in this area also rely on the High Plains aquifer for potable water. The water is a calcium-magnesium chloride-sulfate type (fig. 4). The median dissolved-solids concentration was 364 mg/L (fig. 2B), with about 10 percent of the samples exceeding 1,000 mg/L. The water was very hard, with a median hardness concentration of 210 mg/L; 95 percent of the samples had concentrations larger than 180 mg/L. The chloride and sulfate concentrations were small; median concentrations were 19 mg/L and 61 mg/L, respectively. About 5 percent of the chloride and sulfate concentrations were larger than 250 mg/L. The median fluoride concentration was 1.4 mg/L, and about 25 percent of the concentrations exceeded 2.0 mg/L. Although the water is suitable for use as a public supply, chloride, sulfate, and fluoride concentrations can be large enough to make the water unsuitable in some areas.

**Rush Springs Aquifer**

The primary use for water withdrawn from the Rush Springs aquifer is irrigation. The water is a calcium-magnesium chloride-sulfate type (fig. 4). The median dissolved-solids concentration was 408 mg/L (fig. 2B), with about 25 percent of the concentrations greater than 1,000 mg/L. The water was very hard, with a median hardness concentration of 270 mg/L; 70 percent of the concentrations were larger than 180 mg/L. Chloride and sulfate concentrations were small; median values were 14 mg/L and 55 mg/L, respectively. Fewer than 5 percent of the chloride concentrations and about 30 percent of the sulfate concentrations were larger than 250 mg/L. The maximum fluoride concentration was 0.9 mg/L. The water generally is suitable for public supply, although chloride and sulfate concentrations exceeded the drinking-water standards in some areas.

**Dog Creek-Blaine Aquifer**

Water from the Dog Creek-Blaine aquifer is used almost exclusively for irrigation. The chemistry of the water, a calcium-magnesium chloride-sulfate type (fig. 4), results from solution of the gypsum and dolomite in the aquifer. Water from this aquifer was slightly to moderately saline, with a median dissolved-solids concentration of 3,040 mg/L (fig. 2B). About 80 percent of the dissolved-solids concentrations were larger than 1,000 mg/L. The water was very hard, with a median hardness concentration of 2,000 mg/L; more than 90 percent of the values were larger than 180 mg/L. The median chloride concentration was 145 mg/L, and about 25 percent of the values exceeded 250 mg/L. Sulfate concentrations were large; the median value was 1,750 mg/L and more than 75 percent of the concentrations were larger than 250 mg/L. The maximum fluoride concentration was 0.7 mg/L. The water is unsuitable for use as a public-water supply.

**Garber-Wellington Aquifer**

The primary use for water withdrawn from the Garber-Wellington aquifer is for public supply and self-supplied domestic use. The water is a calcium-magnesium carbonate-bicarbonate type (fig. 4). The median dissolved-solids concentration was 372 mg/L (fig. 2B), with about 10 percent of the concentrations larger than 1,000 mg/L. The water was very hard, with a median hardness concentration of 190 mg/L; more than 75 percent of the values
were larger than 120 mg/L. Chloride and sulfate concentrations normally were small; median concentrations were 17 and 18 mg/L, respectively. About 10 percent of the chloride and 10 percent of the sulfate concentrations were larger than 250 mg/L. The median fluoride concentration was 0.1 mg/L, and fewer than 10 percent of the values were larger than 2.0 mg/L. The water in the aquifer normally is suitable for use as a potable water supply, but chloride, sulfate, and fluoride concentrations may exceed the drinking-water standards.

Vamoosa Ada Aquifer

Water withdrawn from the relatively undeveloped Vamoosa Ada aquifer is used primarily for drinking. The water is a sodium-potassium chloride-sulfate type (fig. 4), with a tendency toward a sodium-potassium mixed type. The median dissolved-solids concentration was 325 mg/L (fig. 2B), with 10 percent of the concentrations greater than 1,000 mg/L. The water ranged from soft to very hard, with a median hardness concentration of 135 mg/L, about 45 percent of the values were larger than 180 mg/L. Chloride and sulfate concentrations generally were small; median concentrations were 20 and 2.5 mg/L, respectively. About 10 percent of the chloride and 1 percent of the sulfate concentrations were larger than 750 mg/L. The maximum fluoride concentration was 1.3 mg/L. Except for areas of local contamination resulting from past oil and gas activities, the water is suitable for use as a public supply.

Roubidoux Aquifer

Water from the Roubidoux aquifer is withdrawn primarily for public-supply use, and the aquifer is the principal source of potable water for Ottawa County. The water is a mixed type with a tendency toward a sodium-potassium chloride-sulfate type (fig. 4). The median dissolved-solids concentration was 280 mg/L (fig. 2B), with about 5 percent of the concentrations larger than 1,000 mg/L. The water was hard, with a median hardness concentration of 140 mg/L; about 90 percent of the values were larger than 120 mg/L. Chloride and sulfate concentrations generally were small; median concentrations were 50 and 15 mg/L, respectively. About 15 percent of the chloride concentrations and 1 percent of the sulfate concentrations were larger than 250 mg/L. The median fluoride concentration was 0.7 mg/L; about 15 percent of the values were larger than 2.0 mg/L. The water normally is suitable for use as a public supply, although chloride, sulfate, and fluoride concentrations exceeded the drinking-water standards in some areas.

Arbuckle-Simpson Aquifer

The Arbuckle-Simpson aquifer is used primarily for drinking water, but the aquifer is largely undeveloped. The water is a calcium-magnesium carbonate-bicarbonate type (fig. 4). The median dissolved-solids concentration was 369 mg/L (fig. 2B), with about 10 percent of the concentrations greater than 1,000 mg/L. The water was very hard, with a median hardness concentration of 330 mg/L; all hardness concentrations were larger than 180 mg/L. Chloride and sulfate concentrations were small; median concentrations were 21 and 18 mg/L, respectively. About 25 percent of the chloride and 10 percent of the sulfate concentrations were larger than 250 mg/L. The median fluoride concentration was 0.2 mg/L, with about 30 percent of the values larger than 2.0 mg/L. Much of the water in the aquifer is potable, but large concentrations of chloride and fluoride in some areas may make the water unsuitable for public supply.

Arbuckle-Timbered Hills Aquifer

The Arbuckle-Timbered Hills aquifer is largely undeveloped, but some water is withdrawn for domestic and irrigation use. The water is a sodium-potassium mixed type (fig. 4). The median dissolved solid concentration was 772 mg/L (fig. 2B), with about 35 percent of the concentrations larger than 1,000 mg/L. The water was soft, with a median hardness concentration of 21 mg/L. Chloride concentrations generally were large, with a median concentration of 190 mg/L; about 40 percent of the chloride concentrations exceeded 250 mg/L. The median sulfate concentration was 70 mg/L, and about 25 percent of the values exceeded 250 mg/L. Fluoride concentrations were very large, with a median concentration of 9.1 mg/L; about 75 percent of the concentrations were larger than 2.0 mg/L. The water normally is unsuitable for public supply because of the widespread occurrence of large chloride and fluoride concentrations.
Of the 11 major Oklahoma aquifers (fig. 2A), the Roubidoux is the only aquifer for which appreciable trace-metals data are available. Of the trace metals, cadmium exceeded the maximum contaminant level of 10 µg/L (micrograms per liter) (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 1986a) in 4 of 91 samples taken from the Roubidoux. Eleven of the 26 samples from the Roubidoux that were analyzed for gross alpha activity exceeded the maximum contaminant level of 15 picocuries per liter. Of the constituents covered by the drinking-water standards, chloride and sulfate most commonly exceeded the 250-mg/L limits. Sulfate concentrations in more than 75 percent of the samples from the Dog Creek-Blaine aquifer exceeded 250 mg/L; for this reason the aquifer is represented as naturally impaired in figure 3B. The Arbuckle-Timbered Hills aquifer also is shown in figure 3B as naturally impaired, owing to the persistence of fluoride concentrations in excess of the drinking-water standard.

Many occurrences of substandard ground-water quality may not be attributed with confidence to specific causes or factors. Although available nitrate data were insufficient to produce statistics (fig. 2B), nitrate contamination commonly is measured in Oklahoma's ground water. Most of the nitrate data are stored in the files of various State agencies. Samples from one or more wells in virtually every major aquifer in the State have nitrate concentrations that exceed the 10-mg/L maximum contaminant level. The alluvial and terrace aquifers, because they tend to have shallow water tables and overlying soils with large permeability values, are particularly susceptible to nitrate contamination resulting from fertilizer application, septic-tank effluent, and industrial-process wastes. In addition, the outcrop areas of bedrock aquifers are susceptible to the same contaminants. Seventeen of the wells that yield contaminated water shown in figure 3B are public-supply wells with nitrate problems. The actual number of affected wells, both public and private, is larger, but accurate locations for the wells were not available. Gopal (1984) reported on an area in western Woodward County (fig. 3B) where nitrate concentrations exceeded 10 mg/L in at least one of several repetitive samples in 40 percent of the shallow wells tested. The contamination was attributed to various sources at specific sites.

Urbanization

The effects of urbanization on ground-water quality in Oklahoma are not well documented. The State's largest city, Oklahoma City, overlies the Garber-Wellington aquifer, which is a principal water-supply source for parts of the metropolitan area. Most of the available chemical data for the Garber-Wellington are from municipal wells completed in the deeper parts of the aquifer. Nitrate contamination is a common problem in the shallow areas of the aquifer. Data are lacking to determine if trace metals and organic compounds are present in the shallow zones of the aquifer that would be affected first by human activities. Arsenic, chromium, and selenium in excess of the drinking-water standards are common, but the source of these dissolved metals is presumed to be minerals that occur naturally in the aquifer.

Agricultural Practices

The major known effect of agriculture on ground-water quality in Oklahoma is nitrate contamination. Chemigation is practiced in several areas of Oklahoma, but ground-water contamination resulting from a system malfunction, accident, or misuse of the practice has not been documented. Also, there is no evidence that pesticides are present in Oklahoma's ground water. However, very few analyses for pesticides have been made as of March 1986. The Oklahoma State Department of Agriculture began a project in 1986 to sample for pesticides in shallow aquifers throughout Oklahoma. This project is the first systematic effort to look statewide for evidence of pesticides in ground water.

Oil and Gas Industry

One of the ground water quality issues of great concern in Oklahoma is the contamination potential of oil and gas exploration and production. Nearly 400,000 oil and gas wells have been drilled in Oklahoma since oil was first produced in 1891 (Northcutt, 1985). Until several years ago, the requirements for plugging abandoned wells were not stringent regarding the protection of freshwater aquifers. Many of the older unplugged or partly plugged wells may serve as conduits for saltwater movement from deep reservoirs into shallower freshwater aquifers. Leaking casings in old producing saltwater or saltwater-injection wells could have a similar effect. Imperfectly sealed drilling-fluid disposal pits and brine-evaporation pits also may contribute contaminants to ground water. The contaminants typically associated with oil and gas activity are chloride, chromium, and sodium. Other trace metals that are a part of the natural composition of the brines produced with the oil and gas, and metals that are used in drilling-fluid additives also may be introduced to freshwater zones.

Two published reports are known to relate activities of the oil and gas industry directly to demonstrated ground-water contamination. An investigation into the cause of apparent saltwater contamination of the Vamoso-Ada aquifer and overlying streams in central Oklahoma indicated that the quality of ground water in the vicinity of 13 wells (fig. 3B) had been degraded by oilfield brines (Morton, 1984). Leakage from evaporation pits was the presumed cause of large chloride concentrations, as much as 9,000 mg/L, discovered in an area of several square miles in the southern part of the Cinarron Terrace aquifer in Logan County (fig. 3B) (Oklahoma Water Resources Board, 1975).

Waste Disposal

Early in 1986, State records showed that Oklahoma had 106 active municipal landfills (fig. 3C), and 50 RCRA sites for storage or disposal of hazardous waste, 4 CERCLA sites, and 11 UIC wells (fig. 3A). About 30 of the RCRA sites have permitted for land disposal and must have ground-water monitoring networks in place. Ground-water contamination has not been detected at any of the RCRA sites; however, many do not yet (1986) have monitoring networks fully in place. Contamination has been documented at one of the CERCLA sites. There are two commercial waste-disposal facilities in Oklahoma. One, a land-disposal facility, is in Major County. The other facility (two disposal wells) is in Tulsa County. Both facilities also are RCRA sites.

As of September 1985, 29 hazardous-waste sites at 4 facilities in Oklahoma had been identified by the DOH as part of their In-stallation Restoration Program (IRP) as having potential for contamination (U.S. Department of Defense, 1986). The IRP, established in 1976, parallels the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Superfund program under CERCLA. The EPA presently ranks these sites under a hazard ranking system and may include them in the NPL. Of the 29 sites in the program, 3 sites contain contaminants but did not present a hazard to the environment. Three other sites, all at one facility (fig. 3A), were considered to present a hazard significant enough to warrant response action in accordance with CERCLA. The remaining sites are scheduled for confirmation studies to determine if remedial action is required.

One of Oklahoma's CERCLA sites, the Tar Creek site in Ottawa County (fig. 3A), has posed a threat to ground-water quality in the Roubidoux aquifer, a major source of water for public supply in northeastern Oklahoma. Abandoned underground lead-zinc mines have filled with water and large concentrations of iron, zinc, and sulfate now are evident in the mine water. Other constituents present in lesser, but significant, concentrations include aluminum, arsenic, cadmium, cobalt, lead, manganese, and nickel. The mines are located in the Boone Formation. Hydraulic gradients in the area indicate that mine water will migrate laterally within the formation.
and may migrate downward into other formations, including the Roubidoux aquifer. Many abandoned wells, which once supplied water for mining and milling operations, penetrate the Roubidoux aquifer and now provide a conduit for the mine water to reach the Roubidoux aquifer. A major goal of a CERCLA remedial project that will conclude in 1986 is to plug all abandoned wells in the area that could convey water from the mine workings to the Roubidoux aquifer.

Feasibility studies at the CERCLA site in southern McClain County (fig. 3A), the Hardage/Criner site, have been completed, and the proposed cleanup plans were issued for public comment early in 1986. The U.S. Department of Justice filed a civil suit in June 1986 against 36 companies to arrange for and to pay for cleanup of the 60-acre site. From 1972 through 1980 the site was operated as an industrial waste-disposal facility, and more than 18 million gallons of liquid waste were accepted. The wastes include polychlorinated biphenyls, cyanides, solvents, acids, caustics, oil, paints, plating and etching solutions, waste ink, carbon black, pesticides, and sludges containing trace metals (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 1986d). Additional investigation at this site is planned to determine the extent, if any, of ground-water contamination.

As of June 1986, cleanup of the Sand Springs Petrochemical Complex near Tulsa (fig. 34) was underway. Federal and State response actions were underway at the Compass Industries site, also near Tulsa, but cleanup activities had not begun (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 1986c).

A hydrogeologic investigation was begun in 1985 to determine if ground-water contamination had occurred near several former waste-disposal sites on Tinker Air Force Base in the Oklahoma City metropolitan area. A preliminary investigation indicates that trichloroethylene (TCE) is present in the Garber-Welton aquifer in a localized area beneath one of the disposal sites (U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Tulsa District, oral commun., 1986).

**POTENTIAL FOR WATER-QUALITY CHANGES**

The two major land-use activities in Oklahoma, agriculture and energy production, are likely to decrease. To improve its economy, Oklahoma is seeking to diversify its economic base and lessen its dependence on agriculture and energy production. The potential for change in ground-water quality by this diversification will depend to a great extent on the types of industry that are attracted.

Reduction in agricultural activity, particularly in crop production, could decrease potential ground-water contamination. A decrease in crop production would reduce the application of fertilizer and pesticides, as well as reduce the amount of irrigation water applied to the land surface. The potential for increased nitrates contamination of ground water from agricultural activities probably will not increase soon. However, if crop production in Oklahoma increases, it could increase the potential for change in water quality.

Oil and gas exploration and production presently (1986) are curtailed in Oklahoma because of unfavorable market conditions. Pumping from many marginal production wells has ceased because of the economic unfeasibility of continued operation. The potential for acceleration of changes in ground-water quality due to energy production or exploration will be minimal while the current market conditions exist. The potential for water-quality changes from past oil and gas operations probably will not change appreciably.

**GROUND-WATER-QUALITY MANAGEMENT**

Seven Oklahoma State agencies share statutory authority for the management and protection of ground-water quality. The Oklahoma Department of Pollution Control, one of the seven agencies, has the primary duty of coordinating the activities of other State agencies relating to environmental pollution when dilution of effort is possible. The Department functions as a clearing house for pollution complaints, particularly if there is a jurisdictional question. The Department is administered by a board composed of the heads of seven other agencies (one of which has no ground-water management authority) with responsibilities relating to the prevention and control of water pollution.

The Oklahoma Water Resources Board is responsible for the allocation of water rights that are based on hydrologic investigations of the State's aquifers, including considerations of possible ground-water pollution. The board is authorized to classify the State's water according to beneficial uses and to promulgate water-quality standards to protect those uses. The board also establishes well-construction standards, primarily to protect ground-water quality.

The Oklahoma State Department of Health has broad authority stemming from its mandate to safeguard the health of the State's people. It has jurisdiction in any situation that could contaminate or has contaminated a drinking-water source. The Department has approval and regulatory authority for all public water supplies, solid waste-management facilities, and septic systems. It also regulates hazardous waste, including the RCRA sites, and all classes of UIC wells except Class II.

The Oklahoma Corporation Commission has sole jurisdiction over any production activities of the oil and gas industry that may affect ground water. It has the authority to issue rules and regulations to prevent pollution of ground water that may result from those activities. The Commission administers that part of the UIC program that deals with saltwater-disposal wells and enhanced-recovery injection wells (Class II wells). It also is responsible for inventories in Oklahoma that are required by the Underground Storage Tank program of the EPA (RCRA of 1976).

The Oklahoma State Department of Agriculture has jurisdiction over the labeling, sale, handling, and use of pesticides and herbicides. Statutory authority gives the Department some control over the eventual distribution of applied pesticides in the environment. The Department also regulates feedlots and may promulgate rules to prevent contamination of natural waters.

The Oklahoma Conservation Commission has jurisdiction over nonpoint source pollution programs that are not specifically delegated to other authorities, but its enforcement role is limited. The Oklahoma Department of Mines is responsible for approval of mine permits and regulation of mining operations to assure minimal disturbance to the quantity and quality of water resources.

The Oklahoma Department of Pollution Control coordinates the efforts of several agencies to develop an integrated strategy for the protection of Oklahoma's ground water. An important interim result of that work has been the recognition that many of the water-quality data that are needed to implement an effective protection strategy—one that includes enforceable water-quality standards—currently are not available. A ground-water-quality monitoring program begun in 1983 by the Oklahoma Water Resources Board has provided trace-metals data for areas where previously there were none, but analyses for organic compounds are few.
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